

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

	400110471011110					
L	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED IN	/ENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	09/580,803	3 05/30/00	KLAGSBRUN		М	701039-48802
Γ	-			\neg		EXAMINER
	DAVID S RESNICK		HM22/1106		NICKOL,G	
	NIXON & PE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	101 FEDERA	AL PLAZA				0
	BOSTON MA	02210			1642	X
					DATE MAILED:	:
						11/06/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
•		09/580,803	KLAGSBRUN ET AL.					
••	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	-	Gary B. Nickol Ph.D.	1642					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app							
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 S	September 2001 .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.						
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)🖂	Claim(s) $1-17$ is/are pending in the application	1.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2 and 5-17</u> is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.	•					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6) Claim(s) 1,3 and 4 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	•					
Applicati	on Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).					
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
	a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)								
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>5</u>	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/580,803

Art Unit: 1642

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 1-17 are pending. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I (Claims 1, 3-4) in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged. Claims 2, 5-17 have been withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner under 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to non-elected inventions. Claims 1, 3-4 are currently under consideration.

Priority/Specification

The specification is objected to for the following reason: If applicant desires priority under 35 U.S.C. 120 based upon a previously filed copending application, specific reference to the earlier filed application must be made in the instant application. This should appear as the first sentence of the specification following the title, preferably as a separate paragraph. Status of provisional applications should indicate that they are abandoned, too.

The disclosure is further objected to (see Figure 1) for improper disclosure of amino acid sequences without a respective sequence identifier, i.e. a SEQ ID NOs:. Hence, the disclosure fails to comply with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.821 through 1.825. In the absence of a sequence identifier for each sequence, Applicant must provide a computer readable form (CRF) copy of the sequence listing, an initial or substitute paper copy of the sequence listing, as well as any amendment directing its entry into the specification, and a statement that the content of the

Application/Control Number: 09/580,803

Art Unit: 1642

paper and computer readable copies are the same and, where applicable, include no new matter, as required by 37 CFR 1.821(e-f) or 1.825(b) or 1.825(d).

Additionally, the brief description of the figures (page 12) is objected to because of the following:

There is no figure 13A and 13B- only a Figure 13 is disclosed.

There is no Figure 14A, 14B, and 14C. It appears that Figure 14 was not labeled.

The disclosure (page 14) is further objected to because it contains an embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. Applicant is required to delete the embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. See MPEP § 608.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 4 recites the limitation "wherein the member of the semaphorin/collapsin family is collapsin-1" in Claim 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 09/580,803

Art Unit: 1642

Claims 1, 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kolodkin *et al.* (Cell, Vol. 90, August 1997, IDS).

Kolodkin *et al.* teach a neuropilin antagonist (Sema III) that binds neuropilin wherein the antagonist is a member of the semaphorin/collapsin family or a fragment thereof wherein the member of the semaphorin/collapsin family is collapsin-1 (page 753, column 2, 2nd paragraph). Although the reference does not specifically teach that the antagonist has VEGF antagonist activity as determined by a HUVEC proliferation assay, the claimed antagonist appears to be the same as the prior art, absent a showing of unobvious differences. The office does not have the facilities and resources to provide the factual evidence needed in order to establish that the product of the prior art does not possess the same material, structural and functional characteristics of the claimed product. In the absence of evidence to the contrary, the burden is on the applicant to prove that the claimed product is different from those taught by the prior art and to establish patentable differences. See In re Best 562F.2d 1252, 195 USPQ 430 (CCPA 1977) and Ex parte Gray 10 USPQ 2d 1922 (PTO Bd. Pat. App. & Int. 1989).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary B. Nickol Ph.D. whose telephone number is 703-305-7143. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30-5:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Caputa can be reached on 703-308-3995. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 for regular communications and 703-308-4242 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1642

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Gary B. Nickol, Ph.D. Examiner
Art Unit 1642

GBN November 1, 2001

> ANTHONY C. GUTUTA SUTERMSDRY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600